



## Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

CRAFT *v.* MOLONEY BELTING CO. et al.

June 10, 1915.

[85 S. E. 486.]

**Pleading (§ 8\*)—Conclusions—Malicious Prosecution—Declaration—Want of Probable Cause.**—In an action for malicious prosecution, the declaration showed that plaintiff was convicted before the police justice, but that on appeal to the corporation court he was acquitted. To avoid the force of the disclosure on its face that he was found guilty by the police justice, it alleged that before such police justice defendants, by means of evidence which they knew to be false, caused him to be convicted, without alleging that defendants testified falsely or at all, or that they were even present at the trial, or that they suborned other persons to testify falsely and without showing what the false testimony was, or that it was material. Held, that the declaration pleaded merely a conclusion of law, and was insufficient, as a declaration must plead the facts constituting the cause of action, so that they may be understood by the party who is to answer them, by the jury, and by the court.

[Ed. Note.—For other cases, see Pleading, Cent. Dig. §§ 12-28½, 68; Dec. Dig. § 8.\* 11 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 243; 14 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 832; 15 Va.-W. Va. Enc. Dig. 810.]

Error to Law and Chancery Court of City of Norfolk.

Action by Luther Craft against the Moloney Belting Company and another. Judgment of dismissal, and plaintiff brings error. Affirmed.

*J. Edward Cole* and *Fred C. Abbott*, both of Norfolk, for plaintiff in error.

*W. H. Venable* and *R. E. Miller*, both of Norfolk, for defendants in error.

SOUTHERN RY. CO. *v.* SNOW.

June 10, 1915.

[85 S. E. 488.]

**1. Master and Servant (§ 124, 293\*)—Injury to Servant—Defective Appliances—Instructions.**—In an action by station agent, a man about 45 years of age having an experience of about 11 years in the work, for injuries from a fall traceable to the fact that the nut came off of the bolt which held the tongue of a baggage truck in place, an instruction that an employer must use ordinary care to provide reasonably safe appliances and to keep them in a reasonably safe con-

---

\*For other cases see same topic and KEY-NUMBER in all Key-Numbered Digests and Indexes.